Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	

ANDRE KENNETH STUCKEY,

Plaintiff,

v.

C. STURDEVANT,

Defendant.

Case No. 20-cv-01898-YGR (PR)

ORDER STAYING ACTION AND REFERRING FOR SETTLEMENT PROCEEDINGS; DIRECTIONS TO **CLERK**

The Court finds that this action should be referred to Magistrate Judge Robert M. Illman for settlement proceedings.¹

Accordingly, the Court hereby REFERS this case to Magistrate Judge Illman for settlement proceedings pursuant to the Pro Se Prisoner Mediation Program. Such proceedings shall take place within 120 days of the date this Order is filed, or as soon thereafter as Magistrate Judge Illman's calendar will permit. Magistrate Judge Illman shall coordinate a place, time and date for one or more settlement conferences with all interested parties and/or their representatives and, within ten (10) days after the conclusion of all settlement proceedings, shall file with the Court a

¹ In Plaintiff's other pending action, Stuckey v. Howard, Case No. 19-cv-03691-YGR (PR), Defendants' attorney in that case, Deputy Attorney General Robert W. Henkels, states that Plaintiff has "several ongoing actions against the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation [("CDCR")] ongoing before this Court, and he has recently served settlement demands in each." Dkt. 38 at 2 in Case No. 19-cv-03691-YGR (PR). Attorney Henkels adds that "[c]ounsel for defendants in three of those matters are working together to determine whether they may resolve all such cases in a global settlement." *Id.* (citing Henkels Decl. ¶¶ 4-6); see Stuckey v. Howard, Case No. 19-cv-03691-YGR (PR), Stuckey v. Woods, Case No. 19-cv-03780-YGR (PR), Stuckey v. Knight, Case No. 19-cv-05460-YGR (PR). Attorney Henkels also notes that Plaintiff has filed other cases that are currently before this Court, including Stuckey v. Sturdevant, Case No. 20-cv-01898-YGR (PR) and Stuckey v. CDCR, Case No. 20-cv-05886-YGR (PR). Henkels Decl. ¶ 4 in Case No. 19-cv-03691-YGR (PR). Attorney Henkels adds as follows: "Plaintiff has served settlement demands in [Howard], in Knight, and in Woods. After speaking with [Defendants' counsel in Plaintiff's other matters], and with my supervisor, we believe it to be in the best interests of all parties and of the Court to make a concerted effort to see if we can resolve all the cases together through a global settlement process." Id. ¶ 5.

	4
	5
	5 6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
iia	12
liforn	13
of Ca	14
istrict o	15
ı Dist	16
therr	17
Noi	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27
	28

United States District Court

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

	Magistrate Judge Illman is advised that the docl	cet contains an C	October 30, 2020	Order of
Service	2.			

In view of the referral, further proceedings in this case are hereby STAYED. The Clerk shall ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSE this case until further order of the Court. If the case is not settled, the Court will enter a new scheduling order for further proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/11/2020

report thereon.

1

2

3

United States District Judge

cc: Magistrate Judge Robert M. Illman